

A simplified local-realistic derivation of the EPR-Bohm correlation

Joy Christian

Einstein Centre for Local-Realistic Physics, 15 Thackley End, Oxford OX2 6LB, United Kingdom

We illustrate an explicit counterexample to Bell's theorem by constructing a pair of spin variables within S^3 that exactly reproduces the EPR-Bohm correlation in a manifestly local-realistic manner.

We begin by defining the detections of spin bivectors $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k)$ by the detector bivectors $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{b})$ {Ref. [1]}:

$$S^3 \ni \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) := \lim_{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \{-\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k)\} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \lambda^k = +1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda^k = -1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } S^3 \ni \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) := \lim_{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}} \{+\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{b})\} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \lambda^k = +1 \\ +1 & \text{if } \lambda^k = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the orientation λ of S^3 is assumed to be a random variable with 50/50 chance of being $+1$ or -1 at the moment of the pair-creation, making the spinning bivector $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{n}, \lambda)$ a random variable *relative* to the detector bivector $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n})$:

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{n}, \lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n}) \iff \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n}) = \lambda \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{n}, \lambda). \quad (3)$$

The expectation value of the simultaneous outcomes $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) = \pm 1$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) = \pm 1$ is then worked out as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) \right] \quad \text{within } S^3 := \text{the set of all unit (left-handed) quaternions} \quad (4)$$

$$= \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\lim_{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}} \{-\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k)\} \right] \left[\lim_{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}} \{+\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{b})\} \right] \right] \quad (\text{conserving total spin} = 0) \quad (5)$$

$$= \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}}} \{-\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{b}) \equiv \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \in S^3\} \right] \quad (6)$$

$$= \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}}} \{-\lambda^k \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \lambda^k \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k)\} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$= \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}}} \{-\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k)\} \right] \quad (8)$$

$$= \lim_{n \gg 1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) \right] \quad \{\text{cf. Appendix B of Ref. [1]}\}. \quad (9)$$

Here the integrand of (6) is necessarily a unit quaternion $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{s}, \lambda^k) \in S^3$ since S^3 is closed under multiplication; (7) follows upon using (3); (8) follows upon using $\lambda^2 = +1$; and (9) follows from the fact that all unit bivectors such as $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{s}, \lambda)$ square to -1 . Using $I := \mathbf{e}_x \wedge \mathbf{e}_y \wedge \mathbf{e}_z$ with $I^2 = -1$, the final sum can now be evaluated by recognizing that the spins in the right and left oriented S^3 satisfy the following geometrical relations {cf. Appendix A of Ref. [1]}:

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k = +1) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k = +1) = (+I \cdot \mathbf{a})(+I \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad (10)$$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k = -1) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k = -1) = (+I \cdot \mathbf{b})(+I \cdot \mathbf{a}). \quad (11)$$

In other words, when λ^k happens to be equal to $+1$, $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) = (+I \cdot \mathbf{a})(+I \cdot \mathbf{b})$, and when λ^k happens to be equal to -1 , $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}, \lambda^k) \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{b}, \lambda^k) = (+I \cdot \mathbf{b})(+I \cdot \mathbf{a})$. Consequently, the above expectation value reduces at once to

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2} (+I \cdot \mathbf{a})(+I \cdot \mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{2} (+I \cdot \mathbf{b})(+I \cdot \mathbf{a}) = -\frac{1}{2} \{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}\} = -\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + 0, \quad (12)$$

because the orientation λ of S^3 is a fair coin. Here the last equality follows from the definition of the inner product.

[1] J. Christian, *Macroscopic Observability of Spinorial Sign Changes: A Reply to Gill*, arXiv:1501.03393; arXiv:1211.0784.