**Please cite as:** Veit, W. & Browning, H. (2022). The Design Space of Human Communication and the Non-Evolution of Ideography. Preprint.

Check www.walterveit.com for citation details once published

#### The Design Space of Human Communication and the Non-Evolution of Ideography

Walter Veit

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7701-8995

University of Bristol

Department of Philosophy, University of Bristol, BS6 6JL UK

wrwveit@gmail.com

https://walterveit.com/

Heather Browning

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1554-7052

University of Southampton

Department of Philosophy, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ UK

DrHeatherBrowning@gmail.com

https://www.heatherbrowning.net/

#### Abstract:

Despite the once-common idea that a universal ideography would have numerous advantages, attempts to develop such ideographies have failed. Here, we make use of the biological idea of fitness landscapes to help us understand the non-evolution of such a universal ideographic code as well as how we might reach this potential global fitness peak in the design space.

#### Main Text:

Universal ideographies – graphic languages in which symbols encode conceptual rather than linguistic content – hold many apparent advantages, such as transmission of information across time and space, operating across language barriers, and the potential iconicity of symbols increasing ease of learning. Despite this, there are no successful examples of such ideographic communication systems. Olivier Morin's (2023) proposed solution to this "Puzzle of Ideography" is to explain their absence as resulting from a standardization problem, with such systems suffering from the inherent challenges raised through the need for everyone to use the same meaning-to-symbol mappings. This becomes ever more difficult as the number of symbols increases and thus restricts

them to narrow domains. Here we aim to further advance Morin's suggestion that the non-evolution of ideography is largely a result of spoken (or signed) languages having been 'locked in' earlier due to their easier standardization, to the detriment of other codes. We do so through use of the concept of the 'fitness landscape', which can be borrowed from its biological context to aid in understanding the non-evolution of 'bad' solutions to cultural problems.

At the very end of his article, Morin notes that a "complete ideography could be seen as a peak in the design space of graphic codes (Acerbi et al., 2016; Dennett, 1995; Mesoudi & Thornton, 2018)". This type of thinking about cultural artefacts in terms of a 'design space' inspired by the notion of fitness landscapes has proven highly useful in the past, and we wish to explore the suggestion further here, particularly in relation to the 'lock-in dynamics' Morin discusses. Wright's (1932) fitness landscapes posit that we can model the relative fitness of different phenotypes as a 'landscape' across which there are fitness 'peaks' where organisms are doing as well as possible within the 'local' set of possible phenotypes, and 'valleys' in which they would be doing very poorly. They provide a useful tool for thinking about why some species appear to be 'stuck' in sub-optimal solutions to their ecological problems, with the path towards a higher peak involving passing through a fitness valley, requiring the organism to become temporarily less fit than others in the population, and thus often blocking the path towards better solutions. Similarly, cultural innovations such as communication systems may be stuck at a local fitness peak in the design space with no way to move to a better system (the global optimum) since any individual shifting their strategy would be initially worse off, through the high costs of learning a new system, and inability to communicate with others in the community.

The effects of standardization that Morin describes may very well be the reasons for the existence of fitness 'valleys' that prevent the development of ideographic communication. This is in line with another example Morin raises – that of the lock-in of the QWERTY keyboard which, as Morin points out, is now commonly regarded as less quick or efficient than other keyboard arrangements (David 1985, David & Rothwell 1996). However, its early adoption has led to it becoming a local fitness peak, where movement to another (perhaps higher) peak carries the cost of having to temporarily move across a lower space in the fitness landscape.

One common criticism of using the model of fitness landscapes is that, as they are typically presented, they are static and fixed. However, this is of course only an idealization and one that has been frequently criticized (Kaplan 2008) – not a necessary feature of the model. It is entirely possible and now common to construct dynamic fitness landscapes that represent changing conditions. For example, as environmental conditions change, a strategy or technology that was once the most optimal might turn instead from a fitness peak into a fitness valley. The more rapid pace of cultural change makes this model even more plausible for cultural fitness landscapes.

Thinking about a dynamic design space allows us to explore the technological and societal changes that may be required to create slopes or neutral ridges that would shift agents towards the alternative peak of a universal ideography. As Morin has argued, spoken language has restricted us from exploring alternative strategies and here we may find ways to promote the advantages of ideographic communication. This requires acknowledgement of the difficulties facing such a change. Since Morin proposes that the cultural 'fitness' of different communication systems is largely driven by standardization of conventions between users, this will thus be a key issue for improving the design of ideographic communication systems. For instance, network effects make languages more useful the more people use them and thus force standardization between users. This implies that the only way to make ideography viable is to improve it through use of new means.

Here, as Morin also suggests in the conclusion to his article, we think that use of new technologies provides an opportunity. In particular, online communication provides many of the benefits Morin attributes to face-to-face spoken and signed communication – signals are cheap, (semi-)transient, and there is opportunity to repair miscommunication. Indeed, this has already brought us quite a long way – think of the standardization of emojis

across platforms. While Morin is right to point out that there is still disagreement about the meaning of emojis, we think he underestimates how standardized their usage already is, especially among those populations that use them the most frequently and have grown up with them. The differences in use occur most often between cohorts, not within them. This then suggests that we might be on our way towards the elimination of this ambiguity, or at least for it to be diminished, to the same extent as there is persisting acceptable ambiguity in spoken languages. Standardization of meaning does not have to imply universal agreement. We suggest that changes in communication technology may sufficiently alter the fitness landscape to make the peak of a general ideography accessible, but that more work would be needed to refine the model and test the predictions.

# Conflict of interest statement:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

# **Funding Information:**

This paper is part of a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement number 101018533).

# References

Acerbi, A., Tennie, C., & Mesoudi, A. (2016). Social learning solves the problem of narrow-peaked search landscapes: Experimental evidence in humans. Royal Society Open Science, 3(9), 160215. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160215

David, P. A. (1985). Clio and the Economics of QWERTY. The American Economic Review, 75(2), 332–337.

David, P., & Rothwell, G. (1996). Standardization, diversity and learning: Strategies for the coevolution of technology and industrial capacity. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 14(2), 181–201

Dennett, D. C. (1995). Darwin's dangerous idea: Evolution and the meaning of life. Simon and Schuster.

Kaplan, J. (2008). The end of the adaptive landscape metaphor?. Biology & Philosophy, 23(5), 625-638.

Mesoudi, A., & Thornton, A. (2018). What is cumulative cultural evolution? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285(1880), 20180712. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0712

Morin, O. (2023). The puzzle of ideography. Behavioral and Brain Sciences.

Wright, S. (1932). "The roles of mutation, inbreeding, crossbreeding, and selection in evolution". Proceedings of the Sixth International Congress on Genetics. 1 (8): 355–66.